Christian Community and the Responsibilities of the Local Church in a Hybrid World

David R. Williamson

Grace Theological Seminary

PM 7520-I: Community in the Hybrid World

October 30, 2026

Digital ministry raises a theological question: how can relationships formed and sustained across distance participate in the life of the church, and what makes a continuing local congregation accountable for its members? Online teaching, worship, prayer, and fellowship can serve people who cannot regularly enter a church building. Yet access to religious content does not by itself establish the mutual responsibilities of a congregation. Neither physical attendance nor online engagement should be mistaken for the whole of Christian community.

Christian community is believers' shared life in Christ, expressed in worship, encouragement, care, and service. A continuing local congregation is a recognizable and enduring expression of that community in which believers and their leaders accept responsibility for equipping, shepherding, mutual care, accountability, and ministry. These are not separate kinds of Christian life: congregational responsibilities give lasting form to Christian relationships. Ephesians 4:11–16 provides the governing pattern. Christ gives leaders to equip the saints; the saints participate in ministry; and the body grows toward maturity as each part contributes in love. Digital relationships can express genuine Christian community. Here, "local" refers to a recognizable, enduring congregation with shared oversight and responsibility, not a requirement that every member live nearby. Faithful hybrid ministry cultivates sustained mutual responsibility while preserving embodied gathering as the ordinary corporate pattern for the Lord's Supper and other shared practices.

The Church as an Equipped Body

The New Testament identifies the church through its relationship to Christ and to one another, not through a building. John declares that "the Word became flesh and dwelt among us"

(John 1:14).[1] The incarnation affirms the significance of embodied life without prescribing a particular meeting schedule. In Ephesians 2:11–22, Paul describes those reconciled in Christ as fellow citizens, members of God's household, and a dwelling place for God by the Spirit.

On this reading of Ephesians 4:11–12, Christ gives leaders to equip the saints for ministry, so that the body is built up through the contributions of its members. The relationship between equipping and the work of ministry has been interpreted differently, but verse 16 explicitly emphasizes the contribution of each part. Paul concludes that the body builds itself up in love as each part works properly (4:16). Leaders are not meant to monopolize ministry; their own teaching and shepherding equip the saints, who also contribute to the body's work. Christians belong to one body through the Spirit (1 Cor. 12:12–13), and relationships across distance can express that belonging (Phil. 1:3–8; Col. 2:5). Larry Richards observes that Paul, although he received the gospel through revelation from Christ, also shared fellowship and ministry with other believers (Gal. 1:11–24; Acts 9:19–31).[2] Such fellowship cannot be reduced to consuming religious content.

The maturity Paul describes is not merely relational or organizational. Ephesians 4:13–15 connects the body's growth with unity in the faith, knowledge of the Son of God, resistance to false teaching, and speaking the truth in love. Sound doctrine is therefore not an optional addition to Christian community but part of its foundation and formation. Congregational relationships, mutual care, and participation in ministry must be grounded in fidelity to Christ and the apostolic gospel as is evidenced in Galatians 1.6-9.

[1] Unless otherwise indicated, Scripture quotations are from the English Standard Version (ESV).

[2] Larry Richards, *The 365 Day Devotional Commentary* (Wheaton, IL: Victor Books, 1990), 962.

Romans 12 makes the ministry of the whole body concrete. After calling believers to offer their bodies as living sacrifices (12:1–2), Paul describes diverse gifts exercised for others (12:3–8) and commands sincere love, mutual honor, perseverance, prayer, generosity, and hospitality (12:9–13). These practices are not optional programs administered only by leaders. They are ways the saints do the work of ministry envisioned in Ephesians 4.

Acts 2:42–47 likewise portrays believers devoted to teaching, fellowship, breaking bread, and prayer while responding to one another's needs. The passage does not prescribe a modern communication technology, but it depicts shared life as active and mutual. Prayer, encouragement, and some practical assistance can be coordinated across distance. Other expressions of care, such as sitting beside a grieving person or offering hospitality, depend on forms of bodily presence that technology cannot fully reproduce. The measure is not a single medium but love enacted through the contribution of the body's members.

Shared Practices That Build Up the Body

The New Testament's emphasis on gathering does not mean physical proximity alone makes a church faithful. In 1 Corinthians 11:17–34, Paul rebukes the Corinthians because their gatherings exposed divisions and humiliated those with little. They came together physically, yet their treatment of one another contradicted the purpose of their assembly. The passage therefore challenges congregations to consider not only whether people meet, but how they share life and attend to one another.

Paul connects participation in the one bread with the unity of Christ's body (1 Cor. 10:16–17). The Lord's Supper remembers and proclaims Christ's death (11:23–26); these texts portray it as a practice of the gathered congregation. Although they do not discuss modern digital technologies, their pattern provides strong theological grounds for understanding embodied

congregational gathering as the ordinary context for celebrating the Supper. Moore observes that the rapid move online gave churches little time to consider the theological implications for sacramental life and other rites.[3] This conclusion concerns ordinary corporate practice, not whether those unable to attend belong to the congregation. Serious illness, disability, hospitalization, or geographical isolation call for intentional pastoral care and, where appropriate, pastoral visitation; those absent remain within the congregation's shared life and care. Baptism is likewise an embodied act of identification with Christ (Rom. 6:3–4; Matt. 28:19), even when digital instruction helps prepare candidates.

Paul also connects gathered worship with the contribution of members. Spiritual gifts are given for the common good (1 Cor. 12:7), governed by love (chapter 13), and exercised to build up the church (14:1–26). His instruction, "Let all things be done for building up" (14:26), echoes the goal of Ephesians 4. Hebrews 10:24–25 similarly calls believers to encourage one another toward love and good works and not neglect meeting together. These texts do not require physical proximity for every act of encouragement; they do require participation that strengthens the body rather than leaving believers as spectators.

Continuing Congregational Responsibilities

A continuing congregation gives identifiable and durable expression to the responsibilities described in Ephesians 4. Elders are appointed among congregations (Acts 14:23), charged to care for the flock (Acts 20:28), and held accountable for their watchfulness (Heb. 13:17). Paul's instructions concerning discipline likewise presume a community capable of acting together (1 Cor. 5:1–13). Accountability is also mutual: believers encourage and exhort

[3] Jason Moore, *Both/And: Maximizing Hybrid Worship Experiences for In-Person and Online Engagement* (Invite Press, 2022), 42.

one another, restore those who stumble, and bear one another's burdens (Heb. 3:12–13; 10:24–25; Gal. 6:1–2). Thus congregational accountability includes ordinary mutual care as well as pastoral oversight and, when necessary, discipline. These passages do not prescribe one modern membership system, but they assume that leaders and believers can recognize whom they are responsible to shepherd and care for.

In practice, identifiable means that leaders and members know who belongs to their shared life, maintain ongoing relationships, and have agreed ways to provide care, address conflict, and coordinate service. A congregation may express this recognition through different membership arrangements, but it cannot responsibly shepherd an anonymous audience. "Local" therefore names a continuing, accountable congregational life rather than a rule that all members must reside nearby. Distance itself does not invalidate a relationship; digital communication can sustain mutual care. Yet a person facing illness or disability should remain within the congregation's active concern, including visits and practical help when possible. The issue is sustained responsibility, not mere geographical closeness.

Ephesians 4:11–16 also makes equipping for service a test of congregational faithfulness. Frank Tillapaugh's call to release believers into ministry reinforces the priority of service over institutional maintenance.[4] Ryan Panzer describes pandemic-era digital worship as effective at extending invitations but less effective at forming relationships and equipping believers for service. He nevertheless advocates thoughtful technology use.[5] His contrast between observation and participation challenges both in-person and online congregations to ask whether believers are

[4] Frank R. Tillapaugh, *Unleashing the Church: Getting People Out of the Fortress and into Ministry* (Ventura, CA: Regal Books, 1985).

[5] Ryan M. Panzer, *The Holy and the Hybrid: Navigating the Church's Digital Reformation* (Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2022), 43–45, 51–52, 59, Kindle edition.

being prepared to serve their neighbors. Jesus calls disciples to visible good works and disciple-making (Matt. 5:14–16; 28:18–20); Paul urges them to do good to all (Gal. 6:10). Ministry structures should be judged by their contribution to this shared calling, not their novelty or audience size.

Evaluating Hybrid Congregational Life

Ephesians 4:11–16 supplies a stronger criterion for hybrid ministry than a simple comparison of screens and sanctuaries: are leaders equipping believers, are members contributing their gifts, and is the body growing in love? Huerter cautions against describing online worshipers as disembodied, since they remain embodied persons whose relationships are mediated by technology.[6] Moore likewise argues that carefully designed online worship can be meaningful without replacing physical relationships; he recommends downloadable worship orders that invite participation in prayers and responsive readings.[7] Such practices answer part of Panzer's concern about spectatorship, but they do not alone demonstrate enduring care or oversight. Moore himself asks whether churches are building genuine connections with online participants.[8]

Huerter further challenges definitions of worship that effectively exclude people facing disability or barriers to gathering. His concern presses churches to maintain real relationships and care for homebound members.[9] Kranda likewise emphasizes that digital participants can belong and that relationships differ in their forms and depth. Yet his thief-on-the-cross analogy does not

[6] Michael Huerter, *The Hybrid Congregation: A Practical Theology of Worship for an Online Era* (Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2025), 73–74.

[7] Jason Moore, *Both/And: Maximizing Hybrid Worship Experiences for In-Person and Online Engagement* (Invite Press, 2022), 40, 51.

[8] Moore, *Both/And*, 60.

[9] Huerter, *The Hybrid Congregation*, 68–69.

by itself establish criteria for membership in a continuing congregation.[10] Together, these authors expose two errors: treating physical attendance as the only authentic participation, and assuming that digital access fulfills every congregational responsibility. A faithful hybrid practice provides ways for people to be known, equipped, cared for, and involved in ministry, while making provision for embodied congregational practices.

Conclusion

The church is neither a building nor a livestream. Ephesians 4:11–16 describes a body in which Christ gives leaders to equip the saints, every member contributes to ministry, and the whole grows toward maturity as it builds itself up in love. Christian community can be genuine across distance, while a continuing local congregation gives its relationships recognizable and enduring form through oversight, worship, accountability, care, and mission. Embodied gathering remains ordinarily important, particularly for the Lord's Supper and practical ministry, but proximity alone does not guarantee faithfulness. The decisive questions for hybrid ministry are whether leaders equip believers, members contribute their gifts, and the body grows in love. These tests arise from Ephesians 4 rather than from attendance or viewership alone.

[10] Jay Kranda, *Online Church Is Not the Answer: Beyond Just Streaming to Hybrid Disciple Making* (HyperThinking Group, 2024), 40–45, 48–51.

Bibliography

Huerter, Michael. *The Hybrid Congregation: A Practical Theology of Worship for an Online Era*. Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2025.

Kranda, Jay. *Online Church Is Not the Answer: Beyond Just Streaming to Hybrid Disciple Making.* HyperThinking Group, 2024.

Moore, Jason. *Both/And: Maximizing Hybrid Worship Experiences for In-Person and Online Engagement*. Invite Press, 2022.

Panzer, Ryan M. *The Holy and the Hybrid: Navigating the Church's Digital Reformation.* Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2022. Kindle edition.

Richards, Larry. *The 365 Day Devotional Commentary*. Wheaton, IL: Victor Books, 1990.

Tillapaugh, Frank R. *Unleashing the Church: Getting People Out of the Fortress and into Ministry*. Ventura, CA: Regal Books, 1985.